

SAN FRANCISCO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)			
,						
Various Existing Utility Pole Locations in NE Quadrant of San Francisco			Various			
Case No.	Case No. Permit No.		Plans Dated			
2016-011411ENV			08/22/2016			
Addition/		Demolition	New	Project Modification		
Alteration		(requires HRER if over 45 years old)	Construction	(GO TO STEP 7)		
· ·	_	Planning Department approval.				
Install wireless facilities on existing metal utility poles owned by Joint Pole Association (JPA), SFMTA, and SFPUC in the public right-of-way in the north eastern part of San Francisco. The purpose of the project is to provide enhanced AT&T Mobility service. See attached project description appendix and list of facility locations.				ect is to provide enhanced		
STEP 1: EXEMPTION CLASS TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER						
*Note: If ne		applies, an Environmental Evaluation Appl				
	Class 1 – Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.					
	Class 3 – New Construction/ Conversion of Small Structures. Up to three (3) new single-family					
	residences or six (6) dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions.; .;					
	change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU. Change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.					
V	Class 3d					
	construction and location of limited numbers of new, small communications facilities.			ns facilities.		
	STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS					
		BY PROJECT PLANNER	- L'	7		
If any box is		pelow, an Environmental Evaluation Applica		1 1 1 2 2 3		
Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day can hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) within an Air Pollution Exposurement of Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., back		ollution Exposure Zone? tions (e.g., backup diesel				
	generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks)? Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Article 38 program and					
	the project would not have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations. (refer to EP _ArcMap >					
	CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollutant Exposure Zone)					
		s Materials: If the project site is located on the	_	-		
	hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy					
	manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? If yes, this box must be					
	checked and the project applicant must submit an Environmental Application with a Phase I					
	Environmental Site Assessment. Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of					
	enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the					

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Para sa impormasyon sa Tagalog tumawag sa: 415.575.9121

	Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).
	Transportation: Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area)
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography)
	Slope = or > 20%: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.
	Seismic: Landslide Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.
	Seismic: Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required.
4	are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. If one or more boxes are checked above, an Environmental Application is required, unless reviewed by an Environmental Planner.
V	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project does not trigger any of the CEQA impacts listed above.
Comments	and Planner Signature (optional): Stephanie Skangos
	OPERTY STATUS – HISTORIC RESOURCE
	MPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER (IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Parcel Information Map)
	ttegory A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.
	ttegory B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.
Ca	tegory C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.

STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Che	ck all that apply to the project.		
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.		
	2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.		
	3. Window replacement that meets the Department's <i>Window Replacement Standards</i> . Does not include storefront window alterations.		
	4. Garage work . A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.		
	5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.		
	6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-ofway.		
	7. Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .		
	8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.		
Note	:: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.		
V	Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.		
	Troject involves less than roth work descriptions. Go To STEP 0.		
	P 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER		
TOI	P 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW		
TOI	P 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER		
TOI	P 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER Ck all that apply to the project. 1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and		
TOI	P 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER Ek all that apply to the project. 1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.		
TO	P 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER Lik all that apply to the project. 1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4. 2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces. 3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with		
TO	P 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER Let all that apply to the project. 1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4. 2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces. 3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.		
TO	P 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER Let all that apply to the project. 1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4. 2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces. 3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character. 4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features. 5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining		
TO	P 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER 1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4. 2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces. 3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character. 4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features. 5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features. 6. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic		

	9. Other work that would not materially impair a historic district (specify or add comments):			
V				
		Bern committee of the control of the		
	(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Prese			
	val by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation			
	Coordinator) Reclassify to Category A Reclassify	to Category C		
	a. Per HRER dated: (attach HRER)			
	b. Other (specify):			
Not	e: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation	Planner MUST check one box below.		
	Further environmental review required. Based on the information provided, the project requires an Environmental Evaluation Application to be submitted. GO TO STEP 6.			
✓	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.			
Comments (optional): Work will be undertaken within the public right-of-way on existing poles and will not affect poles that are decorative or historic in nature. Equipment is designed to be slim in profile and to avoid large bundles of visible cabling, equipment decals, lighting, or mounting systems so that adjacent buildings are not materially or visually impaired. Work will not physically alter any historic features or materials that characterize known or potential historic resources where these installations occur.				
Prese	ervation Planner Signature:	The company with first section from proper contracting decay.		
	P 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION SE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER			
	Further environmental review required. Proposed project	et does not meet scopes of work in either (check		
	all that apply):			
	Step 2 – CEQA Impacts			
	Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review			
	STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Applicati	on.		
V	No further environmental review is required. The project			
	Planner Name: Stephanie Skangos	Signature: Digitally signed by Stephanie		
	Project Approval Action:	Stepnan Skangos DN: cn=Stephanie Skangos,		
	DPW Final Approvals	Francisco, ou=Planning Department, email=Stephanie.Skangos@		
	If Discretionary Review before the Planning Commission is requested, the Discretionary Review hearing is the Approval Action for the project.	Skangos sfgov.org, c=US Date: 2016.12.14 11:06:32 -08'00'		
	Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categori of the Administrative Code.			
	In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Cod within 30 days of the project receiving the first approval action.	e, an appeal of an exemption determination can only be filed		

STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to

additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA. PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project A	Address (If different tha	an front page)	Block/Lot(s) (If different than front page)	
Case No		Previous Building Permit No.	New Building Permit No.	
Plans Dated		Previous Approval Action	New Approval Action	
Modifie	d Project Description:			
	4.0	DNSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIF ject, would the modified project:	ICATION	
Compar		*****	din the Diamin - Code	
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code; Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;			
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?		Section 317 or 19005(f)?	
	_	ginal determination, that shows th	n and could not have been known e originally approved project may	
If at leas	st one of the above box	es is checked, further environme	ntal review is required. ATEX FORM	
DETER M IN	IATION OF NO SUBSTANT	TAL MODIFICATION		
	The proposed modifi	cation would not result in any of	the above changes.	
approval a	and no additional environme	ental review is required. This determinat	er CEQA, in accordance with prior project ion shall be posted on the Planning ties, and anyone requesting written notice.	
Planner	Name:	Signature or Stamp:		

ExteNet for AT&T Mobility (Application No. 2016-011411ENV)

Project Description – For MTA, PUC and PG&E steel poles, each facility comprises one approx. 2-foot tall, 7.9-inch-dia. antenna placed between 20 to 40 feet above grade, but generally around 30 feet above grade; one approx. 2-foot tall, 10" to 14" dia. shroud to conceal antenna and one approx. 1-foot tall skirt mounted just below antenna to conceal the antenna cabling and related equipment; and two mRRU equipment boxes (approx. 16.5" H x 10" W x 6"D each) mounted on the side of the pole, generally between the heights of 10' and 17' on the pole.

For JPA poles, one approx. 2-foot tall, 14.6-inch-dia. antenna with two hybrid couplers (approx. 3"H x 7"W x 1.5"D each) inside either an antenna skirt placed atop pole or within an approx. 38.5-inch-dia side support arm placed between 20 to 40 feet above grade; two mRRU equipment boxes (approx. 16.5"H x 10"W x 6"D each) mounted on the side of the pole, generally between the heights of 10'and 17' on the pole, and other small ancillary equipment mounted on the side of the pole.

See attached list of locations.

ExteNet for AT&T Locations (Application No. 2016-011411ENV)

Node Number	Pole Owner	Address
404A	MTA	69 Polk Street
405A	PUC	55 Cyril Magnin Way
406A	MTA	147 Mason
410B	MTA	1801 Van Ness Ave
411B	PUC Concrete	1935 Franklin Street
412A	MTA	1355 Post Street
416A	PGE Woods	758 Bryant St (Oak Grove frontage)
417B	PUC	IFO pedesrian plaza on north side of 5th btwn I-80 viaducts
418A	MTA	1484 Market Street
421C	MTA	939 Mission Street
424B	PUC Concrete	1795 California Street
425B	MTA	1101 Polk Street (Polk St frontage)
426A	MTA	155 5th Street
427B	MTA	66 8th St (Mission St frontage)
432A	MTA	770 Van Ness Avenue (Eddy St frontage)
433B	MTA	950 Gough Street (Eddy St frontage)
435B	MTA	31 Page Street
438A	PUC	100 Van Ness Avenue
440A	PUC	900 Folsom Street
441A	MTA	1200 Van Ness Avenue
442A	MTA	980 Howard Street
443A	MTA	Mission Street from 6th to 7th Streets, westside, adjacent to 3703/084
445A	PUC	1001 Van Ness Ave (O'Farrell St frontage)
501A	PUC	777 Broadway
502A	PUC	1626 Hyde Street
503A	PUC	1590 Sacramento Street (Larkin St frontage)
509B	PUC	580 California Street
511C	PUC	901 Pine Street
513A	PUC	762 Pine Street
514B	MTA	725 Stockton
517A	PUC	628 Washington Street
519A	PUC	601 Montgomery Street
521A	MTA	900 Kearny Street (Columbus Ave frontage)
525A	MTA	1723 Polk Street
526A	PGE	1401 Polk Street
528B	PUC	560 Geary Blvd
530A	JPA	1000 Hyde Street
531A	PUC	1245 California Street
532A	MTA	101 Van Ness
533A	JPA	1485 Clay Street
534A	PUC	1756 Washington Street
535A	PGE	2015 Polk Street
536B	PUC	In Broadway median opposite 1440 Broadway/Helen Willis Park and Playground
537A	PUC	1102 Mason Street
538A	PUC	1236 Mason Street
540B	PUC	1184 Jackson Street (Jones St frontage)
542B	PUC	900 Hyde Street (Bush St frontage)

543A	PUC	1385 Sacramento St
544A	JPA	1731 Larkin St
545B	PUC	1653 Jones St
546A	PUC	1430 Mason St
547B	MTA	1000 Taylor St (Sacramento St frontage)
603A	PUC	2700 Taylor St
606B	PUC	300 Bay St, #1 (North Point St frontage)
607B	PUC	1 North Point St
608A	PUC	580 Beach St
609B	JPA	50 Francisco St (Bay St Frontage)
610A	PUC	500 Jefferson Street
611B	JPA	1033 Filbert St
612A	PUC	1 Lombard Street
614A	PGE	2163 Polk St
615B	PUC	1056 Bay St
616B	JPA	1727 Greenwich St
617A	MTA	500 Columbus Ave (Stockton St frontage)
618B	PUC	1700 Montgomery (Chestnut St frontage)
619A	PUC	400 Bay St (Mason St frontage)
620A	PUC	2594 Taylor St
		850 Columbus Ave
621B	MTA	
622B	PUC	799 Beach St
623A	PUC	2800 Leavenworth
624B	PUC	2028 Hyde St
625B	MTA	1025 Columbus Ave
626A	MTA	2850 Van Ness Ave (Chestnut St frontage)
627A	JPA	2827 Franklin (Blackstone Ct frontage)
628B	PGE	2428 Polk St
629A	PUC	2160 Van Ness Ave (Broadway St frontage)
630B	MTA	2465 Van Ness Ave (Union St frontage)
633A	PUC	2210 Stockton St
634B	JPA	1560 Powell Street (Beach Blanket Babylon Blvd frontage)
635A	PUC	1150 Lombard St
637A	PUC	1355 Sansome St
639A	PUC	851 Bay St
640B	JPA	2252 Mason Street
641B	MTA	NW corner of Van Ness and North Point
642B	JPA	1801 Broadway (Gough St frontage)
643A	JPA	1510 Filbert St
644B	JPA	within Greenwich St ROW staircase at Leavenworth
645B	PUC	1957 Stockton St
646B	PUC	1 Beach Street (Grant Ave frontage)